

Schroader, Kathy



From: Orjako, Oliver
Sent: Friday, February 19, 2016 4 18 PM
To: Schroader, Kathy
Subject: FW Documents for BOCC hearing on comp plan 2/23

FYI for the record. Thanks.

-----Original Message-----

From: Orjako, Oliver
Sent: Friday, February 19, 2016 3:47 PM
To: Madore, David
Cc: Cook, Christine, McCauley, Mark
Subject: RE: Documents for BOCC hearing on comp plan 2/23

Hello Councilor.

Exhibit 1 attached to the supplemental staff report clearly states that "Forest zoned lands in the Current Use program (Timber or Designated Forest Land (DFL) were exclude and that is consistent with the footnote in Table 1-2 in the DSEIS on page 1-3. You request for GIS record and software algorithms will have to be made to GIS staff. Please, note that we don't the same issue with Rural and Agricultural designated lands Please, let me know if you have questions Thanks

Best,

Oliver

-----Original Message-----

From: Madore, David
Sent: Friday, February 19, 2016 3.30 PM
To: Orjako, Oliver; Madore, David
Subject: RE: Documents for BOCC hearing on comp plan 2/23

Oliver,

The report that you attached states the following.

"Column A erroneously states that Forest lands are included in the count of potential new lots Exhibit 1 document clearly states on page 1 under Excluded – Forest zoned lands in the Current Use program (Timber or Designated Forest Land (DFL)) "

That conflicts with data that I see published in the DSEIS for Alternative 4 and the parcel level database records that I received from GIS thus far. The Excel database exported from the Alternative 1 and Alternative 4 GIS map includes the Current Use status of each parcel. I believe that the potential lot tallies would be substantially lower than those published in the DSEIS if Current Use parcels were excluded from the counts. This appears to be a huge error in the DSEIS

Please provide me a link to the parcel level database in Excel format with all of the fields included so I can check the records

Also, the note in the same document only refers to Forest parcels. The assumptions refer to all three rural zones, R, AG, and FR. Are the column A assumptions counting Current Use parcels that are zoned R and AG? Is it your believe that they should be counted?

I also ask for the detailed GIS records and software algorithms that generated the DSEIS tallies with the detailed parcel level records so I compare those to mine

Christine Cook told us on Tuesday that the column A assumptions were not the original planning assumptions and that there are others. You also spoke at length saying that the column A assumptions were not the rural assumptions, but were the urban assumptions. If the column A assumptions are not the original rural assumptions, then please share exactly and specifically which column A assumptions are not the original and what other assumptions there are. We need to have a complete and exact list.

Since the timing is so short, I ask you to please provide the requested info as quickly as possible so we can have these crucially important facts for Tuesday's hearing.

Thank you,

David

From: Orjiako, Oliver
Sent: Friday, February 19, 2016 2:04 PM
To: Boldt, Marc, Madore, David, Mielke, Tom, Stewart, Jeanne; Olson, Julie (Councilor)
Cc: McCauley, Mark; Cook, Christine
Subject: FW: Documents for BOCC hearing on comp plan 2/23

Hello Councilors:

Attached, please find supplemental staff report for the February 23, 2016 deliberations. These are now posted on the Councilor's GRID. Also posted on the GRID is a map developed by GIS Staff showing areas designated as Agri-Forest as a result of the 1994 Growth Plan and what the current zoning is. This map was prepared in response to requests from the Council. Please, if you have questions let me know. Thanks and have a good weekend.

Best,

Oliver

-----Original Message-----

From: Alvarez, Jose
Sent: Friday, February 19, 2016 12:49 PM
To: Redline, Tina
Cc: Orjiako, Oliver
Subject: Documents for BOCC hearing on comp plan 2/23

Tina,

Here are attachments for the Board hearing on Tuesday on the comp plan. And here's a link to a pdf map that is too large to send via email. Let me know if this works otherwise we may have some other options from GIS

\\olympus\gisdata\ProjectData\Community Planning\CompPlan2016\PDFs\

Jose Alvarez
Planner III
Clark County
Department of Community Planning
360.397.2280 x4898